## \*ATENT COOPERATION TREATY \* /

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY							
To: RICHARD MYERS JR. 424 CHURCH STREET	PCT						
SUITE 1800 NASHVILLE, TN 37219	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY						
	(PCT Rule 43bis.1)						
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 31 MAR 2005						
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below						
11672/030335 International application No. Internation	nal filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)						
PCT/US04/33951   14 Octobe   International Patent Classification (IPC) or both nati	r 2004 (14.10.2004) 15 October 2003 (15.10.2003) onal classification and IPC						
PC(7): G01N 24/00, 33/00, and US Cl.: 436/173, Applicant	60, 69, 133						
VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY							
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the	following items:						
Box No. I Basis of the opinion							
Box No. II Priority							
Box No. III Non-establishment of o	ppinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
Box No. IV Lack of unity of inven	tion .						
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
Box No. VI Certain documents cite	ed						
Box No. VII Certain defects in the	international application						
Box No. VIII Certain observations of	on the international application						
2. FURTHER ACTION							
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.							
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US	Authorized officer						
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	Yelena G. Gakh. Ph.D.						

Telephone No. (571) 272-1700

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

From the

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.	
PCT/US04/33951	

Box No. I Basis of this opinion						
1. V i	With a	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
[		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With claim	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the ed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
a. type of material						
		a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b.	format of material				
		in written format				
		in computer readable form				
	c.	time of filing/furnishing				
		contained in international application as filed.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4.	Addi	tional comments:				

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/33951

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention			
1.	In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:  paid additional fees  paid additional fees under protest  not paid additional fees		
2.	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.		
3.	This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is		
	complied with		
	not complied with for the following reasons:		
	See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report(Form PCT/ISA/210)		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
4.	Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:  all parts.  the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-12 and 14-18		
	U S une parts relating to claims 1103. 1-12 and 14-10		

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/33951

		4							
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement									
1. Statement									
Nove	elty (N)	Claims	1-12			YES			
	• • •	Claims	14-18			_NO			
Inve	ntive step (IS)	Claims	1-12			YES			
		Claims	14-18			NO			
Indu			1-12, 14-18			YES			
		Claims	NONE			NO			
2. Citations and explanations:  Claims 14-18 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Fishman (US 5,769,901). Fishman discloses a hair dye composition dissolved in water, comprising a peroxycarbonate (col. 3, line 10) and protein or amino acid (col. 3, lines 25-40). Lysine is well known as a component of dyes.  Claim 14-18 lacks novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by JP 53035076. JP 53035076 discloses a solution for preventing fiber goods from fading, comprising sodium percarbonate and an amino acid, glutamic acid in particular. A protein or lysine can be used in place of glutamic acid.  Claims 1-12 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest MS analysis of proteins in the presence of peroxycarbonate.  The closest art is the paper of several of the present inventors published in JACS on January 28, 2004. Therefore it is not considered a prior art for the instant application.									